STATE OF WISCONSIN	CIRCUIT COURT	COUNTY
STATE OF WISCONSIN,	somblige of real top as a h sapered with	s-gainastaez fanjanok
Plaintiff,		
Tamui,	Case No.	the separation where
	(the case no. for the sentence you w	vant modified)
ν.		
	a to designation and service scott filteraction, as	01,0855 cm m
(your name as it appears on the	Judgment of Conviction)	غير المام بالموطر والم
Defendant.	page of they support your assertion that	Act to have been been a
MOTIC	ON FOR SENTENCE MODIFICATIO	N
Pursuant to Rosado v	State, 70 Wis. 2d 280, 234, N.W.2d 69, 7	·
(your name)	, the Defendant in the above-captione	d case, moves
this court for modification of hi	s/her sentence, based upon the existence	of a "new factor." The
basis for this motion is as follow		
1. On(date you were cor	the Defendant was convicted onvicted)	f the offense(s)
of	. On	
(list offense(s) you were conv		sentenced)
the Defendant was sentenced as	follows:	
	(explain what sentence you	got on each count)
		*

2. In Rosado, the Wisconsin Supreme Court ruled that a circuit court may modify a
sentence if the court finds that a "new factor" exists. A "new factor" is defined as "a fact or set
of facts highly relevant to the imposition of sentence, but not known to the trial judge at the time
of original sentencing, either because it was not then in existence or because, even though it was
then in existence, it was unknowingly overlooked by all of the parties." Rosado, 70 Wis. 2d at
288.
2 In many second the fellowing foots want the definition of a "many foots".

288.	Charles no. for the nonline posterior no. for the nonline post work modules it
3.	In my case, the following facts meet the definition of a "new factor":
	in detail, what "new factor(s)" exist in your case. Cite case law where appropriate. cuments as exhibits, if they support your assertion that "new factor(s)" exist.).
	MOTTON FOR SENTENCE MODBIAC ATTON
•	Language to Foundary State, 70 Wis 2d 280, 235, S.W. 2d 69, 72 (1975).
	, the liefendant in the above-exprisined our moves
AD ES	
	tend a function is as follows:
*	Case you ware opported)
	30
	Contracted not made to the contract of the con
(12)	the test to a southest today malque)

		•			
	a oveda bimiliwe "(e)	notaet wap" odt	to signed soft me		
		evrolici	es semplos as	diben of	1100 D.b
st, "Trequest fact	i hart. Ji hat fast 201 lebration "	Control mules in	greef for mod at he lowered	ina a specific na Koonfrancest na	el warell) sone-wes
4. In additi	ion to the "new factor((s)" cited above,	, the following	information is re	elevant to
court's determinati	ion of whether grant th	his motion for se	entence modific	cation:	
	; success in vocationa. Attach documents as e			using/treatment	plans for
abar to become	an an farmon a monde	Market State State			
		, anodgalst v	la person er b	dani an appear	GB IOLL SIL
	. 20			ud bei	
	ly submitted,	Kcapeciful			
	*				
	(archi: Establica	orga mod)			
		Second Substitute			

WHEREFORE		the "new factor(s)" outlined above, the Defendant asks
the court to modify his	s/her sentence as:	follows:
		fication, make it here. If not, just state, "I request that my at the court's discretion.")
		assada hatis hatuning son on our public of life
OF RECEIPTED AND ADDRESS.		
1001	leslidoù eogalne	court's dysamination of whether great this motion for se
scretnic vil neitom of	t inong black ti s	Committee and restaural information to show the center with
Logic Marchite tale	e decession. Extens	o "new factor" and but could be retevant to the count
		atter release etc. Mach documents as exhibits; if gogs
IN ADDITION	, the Defendant a	sks the court to grant a hearing on this motion, at which
the Defendant can appe	ear in person or b	y telephone.
Dated this	day of	, 20
4		Respectfully submitted,
		(your signature) (your typed or printed name)
		(your address) DEFENDANT PRO SE

cc: name and address of District Attorney