

## CASE SUMMARY

1. ARRESTED: 4-2-1993 FOR 1<sup>ST</sup> DEG. MURDER.
2. PLEAD NOT GUILTY. WENT TO TRIAL, TESTIFIED I WASN'T THERE / DIDN'T DO IT.
3. FOUND GUILTY, SENTENCED TO LIFE WITH A P.E.D. OF 2034.

DENIED A DIRECT APPEAL - COUNSEL ABANDONED ME. TRIAL COUNSEL REFUSED TO TURN OVER THE CASE FILE.

LESS THAN 10 YRS AFTER CONVICTION, POLICE DESTROYED ALL DNA EVIDENCE, AS SET FORTH IN THIS 974.06.

THE JUDGE TWICE APPOINTED ATTYS, BOTH OF WHOM WITHDREW BEFORE THIS NEWLY-DISCOVERED INFO WAS FOUND.

INW. PROB. REFUSES TO EVEN LOOK AT THIS NEWLY-DISCOVERED EVIDENCE. SAYS THEY "SEE NOTHING NEW"

I FILED THE 974.06 IN APRIL, 2014. FILED FOR DNA TESTING ON REMAINING EVIDENCE. ON OCT. A HEARING WAS HELD. STATE USED COP AS AN EXPERT ON DNA TO TESTIFY THAT REMAINING EVIDENCE IS NONVIABLE FOR TESTING. I TRIED TO OBJECT, BUT THE JUDGE ORDERED ME TO REMAIN SILENT & THE STATE FINISHED - THEN DENIED TESTING.

NEWLY-DISCOVERED EVIDENCE AS DESCRIBED IN "MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT." POLICE FABRICATED EVIDENCE - SIDES AT LEAST.

FALSE FBI TESTIMONY (DNA) AS DESCRIBED IN THE U.S. DOJ / FBI PRESS RELEASE OF APRIL, 2015.

CASE FILE.

LESS THAN 10 YRS AFTER CONVICTION, POLICE DESTROYED ALL DNA EVIDENCE, AS SET FORTH IN THIS 974.06.

THE JUDGE TWICE APPOINTED ATTYS, BOTH OF WHOM WITHDREW BEFORE THIS NEWLY-DISCOVERED INFO WAS FOUND.

INW. PROJ. REFUSES TO EVEN LOOK AT THIS NEWLY-DISCOVERED EVIDENCE. SAYS THEY "SEE NOTHING NEW"

I FILED THE 974.06 IN APRIL, 2014. FILED FOR DNA TESTING ON REMAINING EVIDENCE. ON OCT. A HEARING WAS HELD. STATE USED COP AS AN EXPERT ON DNA TO TESTIFY THAT REMAINING EVIDENCE IS NONVIABLE FOR TESTING. I TRIED TO OBJECT, BUT THE JUDGE ORDERED ME TO REMAIN SILENT FOR THE STATE FINISHED - THEN DENIED TESTING.

NEWLY-DISCOVERED EVIDENCE AS DESCRIBED IN "MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT." POLICE FABRICATED EVIDENCE-SIDES AT LEAST.

FALSE FBI TESTIMONY (DNA) AS DESCRIBED IN THE U.S. DOJ / FBI PRESS RELEASE OF APRIL, 2015 ON ISSUE OF DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE - I BELIEVE THE JUDGE WILL DENY RELIEF. HOWEVER, ON THE FALSE FBI TESTIMONY; THIS ALONE WAS SO UNFAIRLY PREJUDICIAL I BELIEVE THE JUDGE WILL HAVE TO ORDER A NEW TRIAL, THEN I REFILE THE DESTRUCTION ISSUE UNDER SEC 971.31 (2) (5)

OTHER ISSUES EXIST NOT YET WRITTEN.

COACHED WITNESSES ...